

May 11, 2010

Prepared for Counsel

Celal Ülgen Üskudar Caddesi URAS Is Merkezi No: 18 Kat:5 Daire:11 Kartal Istanbul / TURKEY

Re: Cetin Dogan Matter

Dear Mr. Celal Ülgen:

Computer Investigative Associates has been asked to review and comment on the Tubitak report on various CDs involved in this case. We received a translated copy from which to work. As we have not personally reviewed the CDs themselves, we cannot comment on the accuracy of Tubitak's data, but the report itself does not reach any affirmative conclusions about the authenticity of the CDs. In fact, no definitive inference may be drawn from the analysis conducted by Tubitak that the CDs and the files contained therein actually were produced or burned in or before 2003.

There are three major reasons why no such inferences may definitively be drawn from the Tubitak report:

1. Based on the metadata analysis reportedly conducted by Tubitak, it is not possible to ascertain that the CDs were in fact produced in or before 2003. As I have previously explained, the date stamps on these CDs (along with author information) can be altered by manipulating the clock on the computer in which the CDs and the files therein were produced. As a result, a CD may carry "date" information from 2003 even if it has been produced and burned at some later date. Significantly, all of Tubitak's conclusions as to dates refer to the dates carried on the CDs which, again, are not necessarily the dates on which the CDs were actually produced.

- 2. Even if a file was created using software available in 2003 that does not necessarily mean that the file was created in 2003.
- 3. Even if a CD was created using burning software available in 2003 that does not necessarily mean that the CD was created in 2003.

In sum, Tubitak's analysis fails in several ways to establish that the CDs were produced in or before 2003.

Sincerely,

John D. Tessel, CISSP

CTO

Computer Investigative Associates, LLC